Case 1:22-cr-00081-JLT-SKO Document 15 Filed 01/24/23 Page 1 of 3

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney JESSICA A. MASSEY Assistant United States Attorney 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401 Fresno, CA 93721 Telephone: (559) 497-4000 Facsimile: (559) 497-4099 Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 1:22-CR-00081-JLT-SKO	
12 13	Plaintiff, v.	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; ORDER	
14 15	XAVIER ANTHONY RINCON A/K/A JAVIER ANTHONY RINCON, DEFENDANT.	CURRENT DATE: February 1, 2023 TIME: 1:00 p.m. COURT: Hon. Sheila K. Oberto	
16			
17	7 STIPULATION		
18	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on February 1, 2023.		
19	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until March		
20	15, 2023, and to exclude time between February 1, 2023, and March 15, 2023, under 18 U.S.C.§		
21	3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4].		
22 23	3. While the parties anticipate that the case may resolve without a trial, this is not yet a		
$\begin{bmatrix} 23 \\ 24 \end{bmatrix}$	certainty. If defendant ultimately does not enter a guilty plea and decide to proceed to trial, the parties		
25	agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:		
26	a) The government asserts the discovery has been provided to counsel. The		
27	government is aware of its ongoing discovery obligation.		
28	b) The government is amenable to providing a plea offer.		
	c) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to consult with their client, to		

review the current charges, to conduct investigation and research related to the charges, to review and/or copy discovery for this matter, to discuss potential resolutions with their client, to prepare pretrial motions, and to otherwise prepare for trial.

- d) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny them the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - e) The government does not object to the continuance.
- f) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendants in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, g) et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of February 1, 2023 to March 15, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4], because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendants' request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: : January 23, 2023

27

28

PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney

/s/ JESSICA A. MASSEY JESSICA A. MASSEY Assistant United States Attorney

Case 1:22-cr-00081-JLT-SKO Document 15 Filed 01/24/23 Page 3 of 3

Dated: January 23, 2023	/s/ JEFFREY T. HAMMERSCHMIDT
	JEFFREY T. HAMMERSCHMIDT Counsel for Defendant
	ORDER
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED: 1/24/2023	
	Sheila K. Oberto Hon. Sheila K. Oberto
	Hon. Sheila K. Oberto U.S. Magistrate Judge
	Clair Hagistrate valage
	IT IS SO ORDERED.